| 1  | Petitioner does not state which specific documents he requests to be produced, other than             |
|----|---|
| 2  | simply stating all documents. (See id.).  |
| 3  | Petitioner asserts the documents are needed for an appeal, but the docket does not indicate           |
| 4  | that an appeal has been filed. Further, the last court action in this case occurred in December 2004, |
| 5  | so any attempted appeal is likely untimely.   |
| 6  | Petitioner has not demonstrated that the motion or appeal would be non frivolous, nor                 |
| 7  | demonstrated what issues the transcripts would help him present at appeal. Petitioner has cited no    |
| 8  | case law supporting and provided no legal argument.   |
| 9  | Accordingly,  |
| 10 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, DECREED that petitioner's motion for                                  |
| 11 | production of transcripts (doc. # 44) be, and the same hereby, is DENIED.                             |
| 12 | DATED December 10, 2012.  |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |
| 15 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT SUDGE  |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |
| ın | _ 2 _   |

Case 2:03-cv-00841-JCM-PAL Document 45 Filed 12/10/12 Page 2 of 2

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge